UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,446	09/30/2003	Kent A. Burr	06005/38033	5635
*****	7590 12/28/2007 GERSTEIN & BORUN LI	EXAMINER		
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300			ROMAN, LUIS ENRIQUE	
SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2836	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/675,446	BURR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Luis Roman	2836				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDOI	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Oc	<u>ctober 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	. •				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not recei	vea.				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summa					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant amendment filed on 10/11/07 has been entered. Accordingly claims 2-15, 17-30 & 32-37 have been previously presented, claims 1, 16 & 31 have been amended, claims 38-40 have been added new & no claims have been cancelled. It also included remarks/arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ervurek et al. (US 6594603) in view of Christensen et al. (US 6912671).

Regarding claim 1 Eryurek et al. discloses a communication bus suitable for use in a hazardous area of a process plant (Col. 6 lines 13-16 & Fig. 4 element 28), the communication bus comprising: a first end to connect to one process device (Fig. 1 pair 8 on the left connected to device 4 on the left) a second end to connect to the second and different process device (Fig. 1 pair 8 on the right connected to device 4 on the right) a first and second transmission path that communicates electrical signals in a first direction and the opposite direction (communication transmitted/received along the bus pair 8 in Fig. 1 and elements 64 in Fig. 4 that are connected to the I/O 70 and the bus).

Eryurek et al. does not specifically disclose a safety device coupled to each of the first and second transmission paths, wherein the safety device includes a control unit adapted to detect a fault condition associated with the communication bus and wherein the safety device further includes a switch unit adapted to interrupt the flow of electrical signals along each of the first and second transmission paths in response to the detected fault condition.

Christensen et al. discloses a wiring fault detection (Fig. 1) with a safety device coupled to each of the first and second transmission paths (20<data bus> 18<controller> & 28linking device>), wherein the safety device includes a control unit (18) adapted to detect a fault condition associated with the communication bus (Col. 3 lines 60-64) and wherein the safety device further includes a switch unit (Figs. 2 & 3 elements 128<wiring fault detection>, 150<signal switching unit> & Col. 10 lines 8-13) adapted to interrupt the flow of electrical signals along each of the first and second transmission paths in response to the detected fault condition (Claim 19).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Eryurek et al. device with the Christensen et al. system because allows a complete method for detection, diagnosis and reporting faults. The examiner notes that both devices are in the same problem solving area of Fieldbus communication for industrial processes.

Regarding claim 2 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the communication bus of claim 1.

Eryurek et al. further discloses wherein the detected fault condition associated with the communication bus includes at least one of an open circuit, an electrical discontinuity, a cut in the communication bus, a severed communication bus, and a disconnected end of the communication bus (Col. 9 line 12-25).

Regarding claim 3 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the communication bus of claim 1.

Eryurek et al. further discloses including a third transmission path (Fig. 1 coming out of element 6 and counting from top down the second wire) and a fourth transmission path (Fig. 1 coming out of element 6 and counting from top down the fourth wire),

wherein the safety device is coupled to each of the third and fourth transmission paths (Fig. 1 elements 6 and wires counting from top down the second and fourth one).

Regarding claim 4 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the communication bus of claim 3.

Eryurek et al. further discloses wherein each of the first, second, third, and fourth transmission paths includes twisted pair cable or coaxial cable (Col. 2 lines 42-45).

Regarding claim 5 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the communication bus of claim 3.

Eryurek et al. further discloses wherein the control unit includes a first control device (Fig. 1 element 4<left>) coupled to the third transmission path (Fig. 1 wire counting from top down, second one) and a second control device (Fig. 1 element 4<right>) coupled to the fourth transmission path (Fig. 1 wire counting from top down, fourth one), wherein the first control device includes a first signal source adapted to generate an electrical signal that is communicated in the first direction along the third transmission path (Fig. 4 signal coming from element 6 thru wire counting from top down, second one) and wherein the second control device includes a second signal source adapted to generate an electrical signal that is communicated in the second direction along the fourth transmission path (Fig. 4 signal coming from element 6 thru wire counting from top down, fourth one).

Regarding claim 6 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the communication bus of claim 5.

Eryurek et al. further discloses wherein the first control device includes a first sensor (Col. 3 lines 7-13 & Fig 1 element 4 & Fig. 4 element 12) that measures an electrical characteristic associated with the third transmission path, and wherein the second control device includes a second sensor (Col. 3 lines 7-13 & Fig. 1 element 4 &

Fig. 4 element 12) that measures an electrical characteristic associated with the fourth transmission path.

Regarding claim 7 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the communication bus of claim 6.

Eryurek et al. further discloses wherein the measured electrical characteristic associated with each of the third and fourth transmission paths include current (col. 3 lines 37-39), voltage, or resistance.

Regarding claim 8 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the communication bus of claim 6.

Eryurek et al. further discloses wherein the first control device includes a first comparator (Col. 5 lines 26-31 & Fig. 4 element 60) that compares the measured electrical characteristic associated with the third transmission path to a normal operational value, and wherein the second control device (Col. 5 lines 26-31 & Fig. 4 element 60) that compares the measured electrical characteristic associated with the fourth transmission path to the normal operational value.

The examiner notes that it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of the device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis co., 193 USPQ 8.

Regarding claim 9 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the communication bus of claim 8.

Eryurek et al. further discloses wherein the switch unit includes a first switch coupled to the first control device and a second switch coupled to the second control device (Col. 8 line 65 to Col. 9 line 6).

Regarding claim 10 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the communication bus of claim 9.

Art Unit: 2836

Eryurek et al. further discloses wherein at least one of the first switch, the second switch, the first control device, and the second control device is housed in a protective enclosure (Fig 1 shows the control devices 4 in housings).

Regarding claim 11 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the communication bus of claim 9.

Christensen et al. teaches wherein the first switch (Fig. 3 element 150) includes a first relay and a second relay (Col. 10 lines 8-14), and the second switch (Fig. 3 element 150) includes a third relay and a fourth relay (Col. 10 lines 8-14), wherein each of the first and second relays is coupled to the first control device (Fig. 3 relays from element 150 connected to smart field devices <Fig. 1 elements 22, 24, 26> thru line 30), and wherein each of the third and fourth relays is coupled to the second control device (note that Eryurek et al. has the control devices <Fig. 1 elements 4>).

The duplication of parts was addressed above.

Regarding claim 12 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the communication bus of claim 11.

Christensen et al. teaches the usage of relays (Col. 10 lines 8-13), relays are electro mechanic switches which have coils that energize and de-energize to switch from one open to close state and vice versa.

Regarding claim 13 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the communication bus of claim 12.

Christensen et al. teaches the usage of relays (Col. 10 lines 8-13), the links between the first, second, third and fourth relays and the first, second, third and fourth paths is only matter of an arrangement.

The examiner notes that it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

Regarding claim 14 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the communication bus of claim 13.

Christensen et al. teaches the usage of relays (Col. 10 lines 8-13), relays are electro mechanic switches, which have contacts that are normally closed during normal operation.

Regarding claim 15 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the communication bus of claim 14.

Christensen et al. teaches a data bus (Fig. 20), controlled by a controller (Fig. 1 element 18) and the usage of relays (Col. 10 lines 8-13). The duplication and arrangement of parts was addressed above.

Regarding claim 16 Eryurek et al. discloses a safety device adapted for use in a hazardous area of a process plant (Col. 6 lines 13-16 & Fig. 4 element 28), the safety device comprising: a first end to connect to one process device (Fig. 1 pair 8 on the left connected to device 4 on the left) a second end to connect to the second and different process device (Fig. 1 pair 8 on the right connected to device 4 on the right) a first and second transmission path that communicates electrical signals in a first direction and the opposite direction (communication transmitted/received along the bus pair 8 in Fig. 1 and elements 64 in Fig. 4 that are connected to the I/O 70 and the bus).

Christensen et al. discloses a wiring fault detection (Fig. 1) with a control unit coupled to the second transmission line to detect a fault condition associated with the communication bus (20<data bus> 18<controller> & 28linking device>); and a switch unit (Figs. 2 & 3 elements 128<wiring fault detection>, 150<signal switching unit> & Col. 10 lines 8-13) coupled to the first transmission line and to the control unit, wherein the switch unit operates to interrupt the flow of electrical signals along the first transmission line responsive to the fault condition associated with the communication bus (Claim 19).

Regarding claim 17 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the safety device of claim 16.

Christensen et al. further discloses wherein the control unit includes a sensor (Col. 4 lines 53-64) adapted to measure an electrical characteristic associated with the second transmission line (Fig. 2 elements 128, 30).

Regarding claim 18 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the safety device of claim 17.

Christensen et al. further discloses wherein the measured electrical characteristic associated with the second transmission line includes current, voltage, or resistance (Col. 4 lines 53-64 & Fig. 3 elements 152, 158, 160, 168).

Regarding claim 19 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the safety device of claim 17.

Christensen et al. further discloses wherein the control unit includes a comparator (Col. 13 lines 6-19 & Fig. 4A element 210) to compare the measured electrical characteristic associated with the second transmission line to a normal operational value.

Regarding claim 20 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the safety device of claim 19.

Eryurek et al. further discloses wherein the first transmission line includes a first transmission signal path to communicate electrical signals in a first direction, and a second transmission signal path to communicate electrical signals in a second direction (Col. 6 lines 30-38).

Regarding claim 21 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the safety device of claim 20.

Art Unit: 2836

Eryurek et al. further discloses wherein the second transmission line (Fig. 1 third & fourth wires, counting from top down) includes a third transmission signal path (Fig. 1 third wire, counting from top down) to communicate electrical signals in the first direction, and a fourth transmission signal path (Fig. 1 fourth wire, counting from top down) to communicate electrical signals in the second direction.

Regarding claim 22 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the safety device of claim 21.

Christensen et al. further discloses wherein each of the first, second, third, and fourth transmission signal paths includes one wire or two wires (Col. 5 lines 36-43).

Regarding claim 23 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the safety device of claim 21.

Eryurek et al. further discloses wherein the control unit includes a first control device (Fig. 1 element 4<left>) coupled to the third transmission signal path (Fig. 1 second wire, counting from top down) and a second control device (Fig. 1 element 4<right>) coupled to the fourth transmission signal path (Fig. 1 fourth wire, counting from top down).

Regarding claim 24 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the communication bus of claim 23.

Christensen et al. teaches the usage of switches (Col. 10 lines 8-13), the links between the first, second, third and fourth switches and the first, second, third and fourth paths is only matter of an arrangement.

The examiner notes that it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

Regarding claim 25 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the communication bus of claim 24.

Art Unit: 2836

Christensen et al. teaches a data bus controlled by a controller (Fig. 1 element 18) and the usage of switches (Col. 10 lines 8-13). The duplication and arrangement of parts was addressed above.

Regarding claim 26 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the communication bus of claim 25.

Christensen et al. teaches the usage of switches (Col. 10 lines 8-13), relays are electro mechanic switches, which have contacts that are normally closed during normal operation.

Regarding claim 27 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the communication bus of claim 26.

Christensen et al. teaches a data bus (Fig. 20), controlled by a controller (Fig. 1 element 18), detecting units (Fig. 2 element 128) and the usage of switches that open in response to faults (Col. 10 lines 8-13). The duplication and arrangement of parts was addressed above.

Regarding claim 28 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the safety device of claim 16.

Eryurek et al. further discloses wherein each of the first and second transmission lines includes a twisted pair cable or a coaxial cable (Col. 2 lines 42-45).

Regarding claim 29 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the safety device of claim 16.

Christensen et al. further discloses wherein the first transmission line is adapted to communicate electrical signals using a communication protocol based on Ethemet, Fieldbus (Col. 4 lines 53-64), HART, PROFIBUS, WORLDFIP, Device-Net, As-Interface, or CAN.

Regarding claim 30 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the safety device of claim 16.

Eryurek et al. further discloses wherein the control unit (Fig. 1 element 4) includes a signal source adapted to generate an electrical signal (Fig. 1 elements 64, 70) that is communicated along the second transmission line (Fig. 1 element < 1 →>).

Regarding claim 31 Eryurek et al. discloses a method (a person of the ordinary skill will understand a method that is intrinsically described by the functioning of the apparatus) for providing a communication bus suitable for use in a hazardous area of a process plant (Col. 6 lines 13-16 & Fig. 4 element 28), the method comprising: a first end to connect to one process device (Fig. 1 pair 8 on the left connected to device 4 on the left) a second end to connect to the second and different process device (Fig. 1 pair 8 on the right connected to device 4 on the right) a first and second transmission path that communicates electrical signals in a first direction and the opposite direction (communication transmitted/received along the bus pair 8 in Fig. 1 and elements 64 in Fig. 4 that are connected to the I/O 70 and the bus).

Christensen et al. discloses a wiring fault detection (Fig. 1) that measures an electrical characteristic associated with the second transmission path; detects a fault condition associated with the communication bus in response to the measured electrical characteristic associated with the second transmission path (20<data bus> 18<controller> & 28linking device>); and interrupts the flow of electrical signals along the first transmission path in response to the detected fault condition associated with the communication bus (Claim 19).

Regarding claim 32 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the method of claim 31.

Christensen et al. further discloses wherein detecting the fault condition associated with the communication bus includes detecting at least one of an open circuit, an electrical discontinuity, a cut in the communication bus, a severed

Application/Control Number:

10/675,446 Art Unit: 2836

communication bus, and a disconnected end of the communication bus (Col. 7 lines 26-44).

Regarding claim 33 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the safety device of claim 31.

Eryurek et al. further discloses wherein communicating electrical signals along the first transmission path includes communicating electrical signals in a first direction along a first pair of transmission wires and communicating electrical signals in a second direction along a second pair of transmission wires (Fig. 1).

Christensen et al. does not specifically disclose wherein communicating electrical signals along the second transmission path includes communicating electrical signals in the first direction along a third pair of transmission wires and communicating electrical signals in the second direction along a fourth pair of transmission wires.

In other words four control devices with their respective pair of wires with signals in both directions (Col. 5 lines 36-48)

Regarding claim 34 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the safety device of claim 31.

Christensen et al. further discloses wherein communicating electrical signals along the first transmission path includes communicating electrical signals in a first direction along a first transmission wire and communicating electrical signals in a second direction along a second transmission wire, and wherein communicating electrical signals along the second transmission path includes communicating electrical signals in the first direction along a third transmission wire and communicating electrical signals in the second direction along a fourth transmission wire (Col. 5 lines 36-48).

Regarding claim 35 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the method of claim 31.

Art Unit: 2836

Christensen et al. further discloses wherein measuring the electrical characteristic associated with the second transmission path includes measuring current, voltage, or resistance (Col. 4 lines 53-64 & Fig. 3 element 52).

Regarding claim 36 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the method of claim 31.

Christensen et al. further discloses including comparing the measured electrical characteristic associated with the second transmission path to a normal operational value (Col. 13 lines 6-19 & Fig. 4A element 210).

Regarding claim 37 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the method of claim 36.

Christensen et al. further discloses wherein interrupting the flow of electrical signals along the first transmission path includes opening switch contacts coupled to the first transmission path in response to a change in the measured electrical characteristic associated with the second transmission path from the normal operational value (Claim 19).

Regarding claim 38 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the claimed invention except for a third and fourth path connected in a loop within the bus with safety devices with control units that detect fault signals. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to duplicate the number of paths having safety devices with control units that detect fault signals, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skills in the art and has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced. In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

Art Unit: 2836

Regarding claims 39 & 40 Eryurek et al. in view of Christensen et al. discloses the communication bus of above wherein the control and the switch (example a relay) of Christensen et al. (Col.10 lines 8-13) will be located in the module or housing of Eryurek et al. (Fig. 1 element 4).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/11/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Both references are related to control processes with communication signals transmitted/received thru a bus with a protocol used for this type of communication called Fieldbus, Eryurek et al. (Col. 3 lines 4-6) and Christensen et al. (Col. 5 lines 5-6).

Christensen et al. further teaches the use of switching circuitry to operate devices like relays, reed switches, transistors, analog multiplexers (Col. 10 lines 8-13, the examiner notes that this devices are well known to have a control input that control the power output that operates devices by closing or opening them depending on the value received at the control input).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Ott et al. (US 6898468). This patent discloses controlling a process with devices that communicate through a bus and with switches that disconnect those ones which are under a condition (failure) in order to increase safety by avoiding hazardous situations like explosions.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luis E. Román whose telephone number is (571) 272-5527. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon – Fri from 7:15 AM to 3:45 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner by

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on (571) 272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Luis E. Román Patent Examiner Art Unit 2836

LR/122407

POBERT L. DEBETADINIS